

The 2019 Texas Estate and Trust Legislative Update

(Including Decedents' Estates, Guardianships, Trusts,
Powers of Attorney, and Other Related Matters)

Author and Alternate Presenter:

William D. Pargaman

Saunders, Norval, Pargaman & Atkins, LLP
Past Chair, REPTL Estate and Trust Legislative Affairs Committee
(See Contact Info and Bio on Page i.)

Legislative Liaison and Principal Presenter:

Craig Hopper

Hopper Mikeska, PLLC
Chair, REPTL Estate and Trust Legislative Affairs Committee
(See Contact Info and Bio on Page iii.)

This version was updated **August 13, 2018**.



WILLIAM D. (BILL) PARGAMAN
SAUNDERS, NORVAL, PARGAMAN & ATKINS, LLP
2630 Exposition Boulevard, Suite 203
Austin, Texas 78703-1763
512.617.7328 (direct) • 512.472.7790 (fax)
bpargaman@snpalaw.com • www.snpalaw.com

Legal Experience

Bill Pargaman has been a partner in the Austin law firm of Saunders, Norval, Pargaman & Atkins since July of 2012. He has been certified as a specialist in Estate Planning and Probate Law by the Texas Board of Legal Specialization (since 1986) and has been a Fellow in the American College of Trust and Estate Counsel (since 1994). He is very active in the Real Estate, Probate and Trust Law Section of the State Bar of Texas, having served as REPTL's Chair for the 2015-2016 bar year, as chair of its Estate and Trust Legislative Affairs Committee for the 2009, 2011, and 2013 legislative sessions, and as a Council member and chair of REPTL's Trusts Committee from 2004 to 2008.

Bill's practice involves the preparation of wills, trusts and other estate planning documents, charitable planning, and estate administration and alternatives to administration. He advises clients on the organization and maintenance of business entities such as corporations, partnerships, and limited liability entities. He represents nonprofit entities with respect to issues involving charitable trusts and endowments. Additionally, he represents clients in contested litigation involving estates, trusts and beneficiaries, and tax issues.

Education

- Doctor of Jurisprudence, *with honors*, University of Texas School of Law, 1981, Order of the Coif, Chancellors
- Bachelor of Arts, Government, *with high honors*, University of Texas at Austin, 1978, Phi Beta Kappa

Professional Licenses

- Attorney at Law, Texas, 1981

Court Admissions

- United States Tax Court

Prior Experience

- Brown McCarroll, L.L.P. (now Husch Blackwell LLP), 1981 – 2012

Speeches and Publications

Mr. Pargaman has been a speaker, author, or course director at numerous seminars, including:

- State Bar of Texas (TexasBarCLE) – Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Course, Advanced Estate Planning Strategies Course, Estate Planning and Probate Drafting Course, Advanced Guardianship Law Course, Advanced Real Estate Law Course, Advanced Real Estate Drafting Course, Advanced Tax Law Course, State Bar College Summer School, State Bar Annual Meeting, Practice Skills for New Lawyers, Essentials for the General Practitioner, Miscellaneous Webcasts, and more
- Real Estate, Probate and Trust Law Section Annual Meeting
- University of Texas Estate Planning, Guardianship, and Elder Law Conference
- South Texas College of Law Wills and Probate Institute
- Estate Planning & Community Property Law Journal Seminar
- Texas NAELA Summer Conference

William D. Pargaman (cont.)

- University of Houston Law Foundation General Practice Institute, and Wills and Probate Institute
- Austin Bar Association Estate Planning and Probate Section Annual Probate and Estate Planning Seminar
- Austin Bar Association and Austin Young Lawyers Association Legal Malpractice Seminar
- Dallas Bar Association Probate, Trusts & Estate Section
- Houston Bar Association Probate, Trusts & Estate Section
- Tarrant County Probate Bar Association
- Hidalgo County Bar Association Estate Planning and Probate Section
- Bell County Bench Bar Conference
- Midland College/Midland Memorial Foundation Annual Estate Planning Seminar
- Austin Chapter, Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants, Annual Tax Update
- Texas Bankers Association Advanced Trust Forum
- Texas Credit Union League Compliance, Audit & Human Resources Conference
- Estate Planning Councils in Austin, Amarillo, Corpus Christi, Lubbock, San Antonio, and Tyler
- Austin Association of Life Underwriters

Professional Memberships and Activities

- American College of Trust and Estate Counsel, Fellow
- State Bar of Texas
 - Real Estate, Probate and Trust Law Section, Member (Chair, 2015-2016)
 - Real Estate, Probate, and Trust Law Council, Member, 2004–2008
 - Estate and Trust Legislative Affairs Committee, Member, 2000–Present (Chair, 2008–2013)
 - Public Service Committee, Chair, 2013–2014
 - Trusts Committee, Member, 2000–2010 (Chair, 2004–2008)
 - Uniform Trust Code Study Project, Articles 7–9 & UPIA, Subcommittee Member, 2000–2003
 - Continuing Legal Education Committee, 2018-2021
 - Texas Board of Legal Specialization (Estate Planning and Probate Law), Examiner, 1995-1997
- Estate Planning Council of Central Texas, Member (President, 1991-1992)
- Austin Bar Association, Member
 - Estate Planning and Probate Section, Member (Chair, 1992-1993, Board Member, 1997-1999)

Honors

- Recipient, TexasBarCLE STANDING OVATION award, 2014
- Listed in The Best Lawyers in America®
- Listed in *Texas Super Lawyers* (Texas Monthly)
- Listed in The Best Lawyers in Austin (Austin Monthly)

Community Involvement

- St. Stephen's Episcopal School Professional Advisory Council, Past Member
- City of Austin, XERISCAPE Advisory Board, Past Member
- Volunteer Guardianship Program of Family Eldercare, Inc. of Austin, Past Member, Advisory Board

CRAIG HOPPER

HOPPER MIKESKA, PLLC
400 WEST 15TH STREET, SUITE 408
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701
(512) 615-6195

chopper@hoppermikeska.com

AREAS OF PRACTICE

Probate litigation, probate administration, guardianship administration, trust administration, and estate planning law.

EDUCATION

Juris Doctor degree, Duke University School of Law, 1995.

Bachelor of Arts degree with high honors, Plan II program, University of Texas at Austin, 1990

PROFESSIONAL HISTORY

Hopper Mikeska, PLLC, 2012-Present

Hopper & Associates, P.C., 2005 - 2012

Shareholder, Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody, 1998 - 2005

Law Clerk, Honorable Guy Herman, Travis County Probate Court No. 1, 1996-1998

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

Board Certified in Estate Planning and Probate Law, Texas Board of Legal Specialization

Fellow, American College of Trusts and Estates Counsel

Member, Austin Bar Association

Member, State Bar of Texas

Member, SBOT Real Estate, Probate and Trust Law (REPTL) Section Council Member 2010-2014; Chair of Estate and Trust Legislative Affairs Committee 2014-Present

Member, Estate Planning Council of Central Texas; Director 2008-2014; Chair 2012-2013

Member, Travis County Bar Association Probate and Estate Planning Section; Director, 1999- 2004; Chair, 2003

PUBLICATIONS

O'Connor's Texas Probate Law Handbook, 2018-Present

Texas Guardianship Manual, State Bar of Texas, Manual Committee 2013-Present

O'Connor's Estates Code Plus, co-author, 2012-Present

RECENT PRESENTATIONS/PAPERS

- Speaker, 2017 Trusts and Estates Legislative Update, numerous locations in 2017
- Author/Moderator, "How 2015 [Guardianship] Changes Are Affecting Your Practice," SBOT Advanced Guardianship Course 2016, Dallas
- Panelist, "Peace Treaties: Considerations when Negotiating, Drafting & Enforcing Settlement Agreements and Releases," SBOT Estate Planning and Probate Drafting Course, Houston 2015
- Speaker, 2015 Trusts and Estates Legislative Update, numerous locations in 2015-2016 Author/Speaker, "Extraordinary Remedies in Probate Proceedings," SBOT Probate and Estate Planning Drafting Course 2014, Dallas
- Author/Speaker, "Whack-a-Mole: Handling Problem Litigants and the Occasional Overzealous Ad Litem," SBOT Advanced Guardianship Course 2014, Dallas;
- Speaker, "Mock Guardianship Hearing—How and When to Put Your Ward on the Stand," SBOT Advanced Guardianship Course 2014, Dallas; Tarrant County Bar Association Probate Litigation Seminar 2014, Ft Worth
- Speaker, "Basic Guardianship," Docket Call in Probate Court, San Antonio, Texas 2014
- Speaker, "Ask the Experts" panel 15th Annual University of Texas Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference, Galveston 2013
- Author/Speaker, "Creating a Travis County Guardianship," Austin Advisors Forum, Austin 2013
- Course Director, SBOT Advanced Guardianship and Elder Law Courses, Houston, 2013
- Speaker, "Alternatives to Guardianship" and "Ask the Experts" panel 14th Annual University of Texas Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference, Galveston 2012
- Author/Speaker, "Drafting the Estate and Trust Distribution Documents," SBOT Advanced Drafting Course, Dallas 2011
- Speaker, "Contested Guardianships," SBOT Advanced Guardianship Course 2011, Houston; South Texas College of Law 26th Annual Wills and Probate Institute, Houston 2011

CRAIG HOPPER (cont.)

- Author/Speaker, “The Role of the Guardian,” 13th Annual University of Texas Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference, Galveston 2011
- Speaker, “Call in the Sheriff: Handling Overzealous Ad Litem and Other Outlaws,” SBOT Advanced Guardianship Course 2010, Houston
- Author/Speaker, “Extraordinary Preparation for Mediation in Guardianship Disputes,” SBOT Advanced Guardianship Course 2009, Houston
- Author/Speaker, “Extraordinary Remedies in Probate Proceedings,” SBOT Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Course 2008, Dallas
- Panel Member, “Ask the Experts,” and “Former Statutory Probate Court Staff Attorneys Panel” 9th Annual Intermediate Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference, Galveston, Texas, August 2007
- Speaker, “Attorney Ad Litem Duties” and Panel Member, “Ask the Experts,” 8th Annual Intermediate Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference, Galveston, Texas, August 2006
- Speaker/Panel Member, SBOT Building Blocks of Probate and Estate Planning: Probate Administration, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013
- Author/Speaker, “Using Independent Facilitators to Resolve Probate Disputes,” Guardianship and Elder Law Conference, Galveston, Texas, August 2004

The 2019 Texas Estate and Trust Legislative Update

(Including Decedents' Estates, Guardianships, Trusts,
Powers of Attorney, and Other Related Matters)

© 2018, William D. Pargaman, All Rights Reserved.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	The Preliminaries.....	1
1.1	Introduction and Scope.....	1
1.2	CMA Disclaimers.....	1
1.3	If You Want to Skip to the Good Stuff ...	1
1.4	A Note About Linking to the Electronic Version.....	1
1.5	Acknowledgments.....	1
1.6	Obtaining Copies of Bills.....	2
2.	The People and Organizations Most Involved in the Process.....	2
2.1	REPTL.....	2
2.2	The Statutory Probate Judges.....	2
2.3	The Bankers.....	2
2.4	The Texas Legislative Council.....	3
2.5	The Authors and Sponsors.....	3
2.6	The Committees.....	3
3.	The Process.....	3
3.1	The Genesis of REPTL's Package.....	3
3.2	Preliminary Approval by the REPTL Council.....	3
3.3	Actual Language is Drafted by the Committees, With Council Input and Approval.....	3
3.4	REPTL's Package is Submitted to the Bar.....	3
3.5	Legislative Policy Committee Review.....	3
3.6	State Bar Board of Directors Approval.....	3
3.7	REPTL is Ready to Go.....	4
3.8	During the Session.....	4
3.9	Where You Can Find Information About Filed Bills.....	4
3.10	Where You Can Find Information About Previous Versions of Statutes.....	4
3.11	Summary of the Legislative Process.....	4
3.12	The Legislative Council Code Update Bill.....	5
3.13	The REPTL Substantive Code Update Bill.....	5
	(a) Example of a "Substantive Change.".....	5
	(b) REPTL to the Rescue.....	5
4.	Key Dates.....	5
5.	If You Have Suggestions ...	6
6.	The REPTL Bills.....	6
6.1	The Original REPTL Legislative Package.....	6
6.2	Consolidation Into REPTL Bills.....	7
7.	Decedents' Estates.....	7
7.1	REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill.....	7
	(a) Representative's Access to Nonprobate Asset Information (Secs. 111.051 and 111.055).....	7
	(b) Liability of Nonprobate Assets (Sec. 113.252).....	7

The 2019 Texas Estate and Trust Legislative Update

(c)	Memorandum of Conveyance Voids TODD (Sec. 114.102).....	7
(d)	Repeal of Statutory TODD Forms (Secs. 114.151-114.152).....	7
	Drafting Tip	7
(e)	Community Property Intestacy Clarification (Sec. 201.003).....	7
(f)	Number of Disinterested Witnesses in an Heirship (Sec. 202.151).....	8
(g)	Ability to Delegate Appointment of Administrator (Secs. 254.006, 256.051, 301.052, and 304.001).....	8
	Drafting Tip	8
(h)	Removal of Will Reformation from Constitutional County Court (Sec. 255.456).....	8
(i)	Elimination of Reference to Unwritten Will (Sec. 256.051).....	8
(j)	Conversion of Muniment to Administration (Sec. 257.151).....	8
(k)	Clarification of Proof Required for Letters (Sec. 301.151).....	8
(l)	Executor’s Access to Digital Assets (Secs. 351.106 & 402.003).....	8
(m)	Court Approval of Contingent Fee Agreements (Sec. 351.152).....	8
(n)	Fees Awarded to Successful Contestant (Sec. 352.052).....	8
(o)	Separate \$15,000 Class 1 Claim Limits (Secs. 355.102 & 355.103).....	8
(p)	Claim Holder’s “Reasonable Time” Duty (Sec. 355.1551).....	8
(q)	Procedures to Sell Real Estate.....	8
(i)	Auctions (Secs. 356.401-356.405).....	9
(ii)	Private Sales (Secs. 356.501-356.502).....	9
(iii)	Report and Approval (Secs. 356.551-356.558).....	9
(r)	Waiver of Bond Where Will Doesn’t Waive Bond (Sec. 401.005).....	9
(s)	Claims Procedures for Medicaid Recovery in Independent Administrations (Sec. 403.058).....	9
(t)	Public Probate Administrators (Ch. 455).....	9
(u)	Recusal of Presiding Statutory Probate Judge (Gov’t Code Secs. 25.002201 and 25.00255).....	9
8.	Guardianships and Persons With Disabilities.....	9
8.1	The REPTL Guardianship Bill.....	9
(a)	Matters Related to Guardianship Proceeding (Sec. 1021.001).....	9
(b)	Required Findings for Appointment of Guardian (Sec. 1101.102).....	9
(c)	Wards’ Bill of Rights (Sec. 1151.351).....	9
(d)	Notice to Creditors (Sec. 1153.001).....	9
(e)	Attorney’s Fees (Sec. 1155.054).....	10
(f)	Costs (Sec. 1155.151).....	10
(g)	Ch. 1301 Management Trusts.....	10
(i)	Notice (Sec. 1301.051A).....	10
(ii)	Termination Provisions (Secs. 1301.101 & 1301.203).....	10
(iii)	Accounting (Sec. 1301.154).....	10
(h)	Sale of Property by Nonresident Guardian (Secs. 1355.002 & 1355.105).....	10
9.	Trusts.....	10
9.1	The REPTL Trusts Bill.....	10
(a)	Mandatory Rules – Trustee’s and Attorney’s Fees (Sec. 111.0035).....	10
(b)	Incorporation of Will Construction Concepts Into Revocable Trusts (Sec. 112.0335).....	10
(c)	Effective Date of Reformations (Sec. 112.054).....	10
(d)	Effect of Divorce on Certain Transfers in Trust (Secs. 112.061-112.066).....	10
(e)	Decanting Into the Same Trust? (Sec. 112.071).....	10
(f)	Termination of Ch. 142 Trusts (Sec. 142.005).....	10
(g)	Pooled Trust Subaccounts (Sec. 142.010 and Ch. 143).....	10
9.2	The REPTL Directed Trusts Bill (Sec. 114.0031).....	11
10.	Disability Documents.....	11
10.1	The REPTL Financial Power of Attorney Bill.....	11
10.2	The REPTL Medical Power of Attorney Bill (Health & Saf. Code Secs. 166.160 & 166.164).....	11
10.3	The REPTL Anatomical Gift Bill (Health & Saf. Code Ch. 692 & Secs. 692A.005-007).....	11
	Drafting Tip	11
10.4	The REPTL Disposition of Remains Bill (Health & Saf. Code Secs. 711.002 & 711.004).....	11

11.	Nontestamentary Transfers.....	12
11.1	The REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill – Repeal of Statutory TODD Forms.....	12
12.	Exempt Property.....	12
12.1	The REPTL Exempt Savings Plan Bill (Prop. Code Secs. 42.0021 & 42.0022).....	12
13.	Jurisdiction and Venue.....	12
13.1	The REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill – Removal of Will Reformation.....	12
13.2	The REPTL Guardianship Bill – Matters Related to Guardianship Proceeding.....	12
13.3	The REPTL Disposition of Remains Bill – Courts With Jurisdiction.....	12
14.	Court Administration.....	12
14.1	The REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill – Recusal of Presiding Statutory Probate Judge.....	12
17.	A Little Lagniappe.....	12
17.1	“Goin’ Up the Country.”.....	12
18.	The End.....	12

The 2019 Texas Estate and Trust Legislative Update

(Including Decedents' Estates, Guardianships, Trusts,
Powers of Attorney, and Other Related Matters)

© 2018, William D. Pargaman, All Rights Reserved.

1. The Preliminaries.

1.1 Introduction and Scope. Welcome to the Tin Edition of my Texas estate and trust legislative updates!¹ The 86th Regular Session of the Texas Legislature spans the 140 days beginning January 8, 2019, and ending May 27, 2019. This paper presents a summary of the bills that relate to probate (*i.e.*, decedents' estates), guardianships, trusts, powers of attorney, and several other areas of interest to estate and probate practitioners. Issues of interest to elder law practitioners are touched upon, but are not a focus of this paper. (And, to be honest, sometimes I go off on a tangent and discuss a bill of interest to me that has nothing to do with any of the areas mentioned above.)

1.2 CMA Disclaimers. While reading this paper, please keep in mind the following:

- I've made every reasonable attempt to provide accurate descriptions of the contents of bills, their effects, and in some cases, their background.
- Despite rumors to the contrary, I am human. And have been known to make mistakes.
- In addition, some of the descriptions in this paper admittedly border on editorial opinion, in which case the opinion is my own, and not necessarily that of REPTL, Craig Hopper, or anyone else.
- I often work on this paper late at night, past my normal bedtime, perhaps, even, under the influence of strategic amounts of Johnnie Walker Black (donations of Red, Black, Green, Gold, Blue, Platinum, or even Swing happily accepted!). Craig Hopper is happy to accept donations of Scotch also.
- As companion bills make their way through the legislative process, I usually base descriptions on the most recently approved version in either chamber. In the case of REPTL bills, I sometimes have access to drafts of substitutes before they are officially posted, in which case the descriptions may be based on what we think the bill will look

like, rather than what the currently-online version looks like.

- As a consequence, while the descriptions contained in this paper are hopefully accurate at the time they are written, they may no longer accurately reflect the contents of a bill at a later stage in the legislative process.

Therefore, you'll find directions in Section 1.6 on page 2 for obtaining copies of the actual bills themselves so you may review and analyze them yourself before relying on any information in this paper.

1.3 If You Want to Skip to the Good Stuff ... If you don't want to read the rest of these preliminary matters and want to skip to the legislation itself, you'll find it beginning with **Part 6 on page 6**.

1.4A Note About Linking to the Electronic Version. Feel free to link to the electronic version of this paper if you'd like. If you do, use the URL found on the cover page to link to the most recent version of the paper:

www.snpalaw.com/resources/2019LegislativeUpdate

Once you click on that link, you'll open a PDF version of this paper. However, **don't** copy the URL that you'll find in your browser's address bar when you open the PDF! That's likely to be a 100+ character web address that will take you to that particular version of the paper only, and only so long as that version remains posted. Trust me – the link I've given you will take you to the right version each time.

And note that you can bring up my previous legislative updates going back to 2009 by substituting the appropriate odd-numbered year for "2019" in the URL.

1.5 Acknowledgments. A lot of the effort in every legislative session comes from the Real Estate, Probate & Trust Law Section of the State Bar of Texas ("REPTL"). REPTL, with over 9,000 members, has been active in proposing legislation in this area for more than three decades. During the year and a half preceding a session, the REPTL Council works hard to come up with a package that addresses the needs of its members and the public, and then works to get the package enacted into law. In addition to myself, others

¹ Why the "tin" edition? Because that's the traditional gift for a 10th anniversary, and this is the 10th anniversary of my first legislative update in 2009. Whoo Hoo!

who have been deeply involved in this legislative process include:

- Craig Hopper of Austin, Chair, Estate and Trust Legislative Affairs Committee; and principal presenter of this paper
- Eric Reis of Dallas, Chair-Elect/Secretary of REPTL (and Chair beginning in July of 2019)
- Tina Green of Texarkana, Immediate Past Chair of REPTL
- Melissa Willms of Houston, Chair, Decedents' Estates Committee
- Catherine Goodman of Fort Worth, Chair, Guardianship Committee
- Shyla Buckner of Amarillo, Chair, Trusts Committee
- Lora Davis of Dallas, Chair, Powers of Attorney and Advance Directives (PAADs) Committee
- Clint Hackney of Austin, Lobbyist
- Barbara Klitch of Austin, who provides invaluable service tracking legislation for REPTL

REPTL is helped along the way by the State Bar, its Board of Directors, and its staff (in particular, KaLyn Laney, Assistant Deputy Director).

Other groups have an interest in legislation in this area, and REPTL tries to work with them to mutual advantage. These include the statutory probate judges (Judge Guy Herman of Austin, Presiding Statutory Probate Judge) and the Wealth Management and Trust Division of the Texas Bankers Association.

Last, but of course not least, are the legislators and their staffs. You'll note the names of our authors and sponsors² in the parenthetical following the first mention of a bill in this paper. These are the legislators who have volunteered their time and effort to help REPTL get its bills passed. Thanks go to all of these persons, their staffs, and the many others who have helped in the past and will continue to do so in the future.

Hopefully, the effort that goes into the legislative process will become apparent to the reader. In the best of circumstances, this effort results in passing good bills and blocking bad ones. But in the real world of legislating, the best of circumstances is never realized.

1.6 Obtaining Copies of Bills. If you want to obtain copies of any of the bills discussed here, go to www.legis.state.tx.us. Near the top of the page, in the middle column, you'll see **Search Legislation**. First, select the legislative session you wish to search (for example, the 2019 regular legislative session that

spans from January through May is "86(R) - 2019). Select the Bill Number button, and then type your bill number in the box below. So, for example, if you wanted to find the Decedents' Estates bill prepared by the Real Estate, Probate, and Trust Law Section of the State Bar of Texas ("REPTL"), you'd type "HB_____" and press Go. (It's fairly forgiving – if you type in lower case, place periods after the H and the B, or include a space before the actual number, it's still likely to find your bill.)

Then click on the Text tab. You'll see multiple versions of bills. The "engrossed" version is the one that passes the chamber where a bill originated. When an engrossed version of a bill passes the other chamber without amendments, it is returned to the originating chamber where it is "enrolled." If the other chamber does make changes, then when it is returned, the originating chamber must concur in those amendments before the bill is enrolled. Either way, it's the "enrolled" version you'd be interested in.

2. The People and Organizations Most Involved in the Process.

A number of organizations and individuals get involved in the legislative process:

2.1 REPTL. REPTL acts through its Council.

Many volunteer Section members who are not on the Council give much of their time, energy and intellect in formulating REPTL legislation. REPTL is not allowed to sponsor legislation or oppose legislation without the approval of the Board of Directors of the State Bar. There is no provision to support legislation offered by someone other than REPTL, and the ability of REPTL to react during the legislative session is hampered by the necessity for Bar approval. Therefore, REPTL must receive prior permission to carry the proposals discussed in this paper that are identified as REPTL proposals. REPTL has hired Clint Hackney, who has assisted with the passage of REPTL legislation for many sessions.

2.2 The Statutory Probate Judges. The vast majority of probate and guardianship cases are heard by the judges of the Statutory Probate Courts (18 of them in 10 counties). Judge Guy Herman of the Probate Court No. 1 of Travis County (Austin) is the Presiding Statutory Probate Judge and has been very active in promoting legislative solutions to problems in our area for many years.

2.3 The Bankers. There are two groups of bankers that REPTL deals with. One is the Wealth Management and Trust Division of the Texas Bankers Association ("TBA"), which tends to represent the larger corporate fiduciaries, while the other is the

² See Sec. 2.5 on page 3 if you want to learn the difference between an author and a sponsor.

Independent Bankers Association of Texas (“IBAT”), which tends to represent the smaller corporate fiduciaries, although the distinctions are by no means hard and fast.

2.4 The Texas Legislative Council. Among other duties, the Texas Legislative Council³ provides bill drafting and research services to the Texas Legislature and legislative agencies. All proposed legislation must be reviewed (and usually revised) by Leg. Council before a Representative or Senator may introduce it. In addition, as part of its continuing statutory revision program, Leg. Council was the primary drafter of the Texas Estates Code, a nonsubstantive revision of the Texas Probate Code.

2.5 The Authors and Sponsors. All legislation needs an author, the Representative or Senator who introduces the legislation. A sponsor is the person who introduces a bill from the other house in the house of which he or she is a member. Many bills have authors in both houses originally, but either the House or Senate version will eventually be voted out if it is to become law; and so, for example, the Senate author of a bill may become the sponsor of a companion House bill when it reaches the Senate. In any event, the sponsor or author controls the bill and its fate in their respective house. Without the dedication of the various authors and sponsors, much of the legislative success of this session would not have been possible. The unsung heroes are the staffs of the legislators, who make sure that the bill does not get off track.

2.6 The Committees. All legislation goes through a committee in each chamber. In the House, most bills in our area go through the House Committee on Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence, or “Judiciary.” In the Senate, most bills in our area go through the Senate Committee on State Affairs, or “State Affairs.”

3. The Process.

3.1 The Genesis of REPTL’s Package. REPTL⁴ begins work on its legislative package shortly after the previous legislative session ends. In August or September of odd-numbered years – just weeks after a regular legislative session ends, the chairs of each of the main REPTL legislative committees (Decedents’ Estates, Guardianship, Trust Code, and Powers of Attorney) put together lists of proposals for discussion by their committees. These items are usually gathered from a variety of sources. They may be ideas that

REPTL Council or committee members come up with on their own, or they may be suggestions from practitioners around the state, accountants, law professors, legislators, judges – you name it. Most suggestions usually receive at least some review at the committee level.

3.2 Preliminary Approval by the REPTL Council. The full “PTL” or probate, guardianship, and trust law side of the REPTL Council reviews each committee’s suggestions and gives preliminary approval (or rejection) to those proposals at its Fall meeting (usually in September or October) in odd-numbered years. Draft language may or may not be available for review at this stage – this step really involves a review of concepts, not language.

3.3 Actual Language is Drafted by the Committees, With Council Input and Approval. Following the Fall Council meeting, the actual drafting process usually begins by the committees. Proposals may undergo several redrafts as they are reviewed by the full Council at subsequent meetings. By the Spring meeting of the Council in even-numbered years (usually in April), language is close to being final, so that final approval by the Council at its June annual meeting held in conjunction with the State Bar’s Annual Meeting is mostly *pro forma*. Note that items may be added to or removed from the legislative package at any time during this process as issues arise.

3.4 REPTL’s Package is Submitted to the Bar. In order to obtain permission to support legislation, the entire REPTL package is submitted to the other substantive law sections of the State Bar for review and comment by June. This procedure is designed to assure that legislation with the State Bar’s “seal of approval” will be relatively uncontroversial and will further the State Bar’s goal of promoting the interests of justice.

3.5 Legislative Policy Committee Review. Following a comment period (and sometimes revisions in response to comments received), REPTL representatives appear before the State Bar’s Legislative Policy Committee in August to explain and seek approval for REPTL’s legislative package.

3.6 State Bar Board of Directors Approval. Assuming REPTL’s package receives preliminary approval from the State Bar’s Legislative Policy Committee, it is submitted to the full Board of Directors of the State Bar for approval in September. At times, REPTL may not receive approval of portions of its package. In these cases, REPTL usually works to satisfy any concerns raised, and then seeks approval from the full Board of Directors through an appeal process. REPTL’s 2019 legislative package received

³ We usually refer to the Texas Legislative Council as simply “Leg. (pronounced “ledge”) Council.”

⁴ Note that the “RE” or real estate side of REPTL usually does not have a legislative package, but is very active in monitoring legislation filed in its areas of interest.

approval from the full Board of Directors at its September, 2019, meeting.

3.7 REPTL is Ready to Go. After REPTL receives approval from the State Bar's Board of Directors to carry its package, it then meets with appropriate Representatives and Senators to obtain sponsors, who submit the legislation to Leg. Council for review, revision, and drafting in bill form. REPTL's legislation is usually filed (in several different bills) in the early days of the sessions that begin in January of odd-numbered years.

3.8 During the Session. During the legislative session, the work of REPTL and members of its various committees is not merely limited to working for passage of their respective bills. An equally important part of their roles is monitoring bills introduced by others and working with their sponsors to improve those bills, or, where appropriate, to oppose them (in their individual capacities – not on behalf of REPTL without State Bar approval).

3.9 Where You Can Find Information About Filed Bills. You can find information about any of the bills mentioned in this paper (whether or not they passed), including text, lists of witnesses and analyses (if available), and actions on the bill, at the Texas Legislature Online website: www.legis.state.tx.us. The website allows you to perform your own searches for legislation based on your selected search criteria. You can even create a free account and save that search criteria (go to the "My TLO" tab). Additional information on following a bill using this site can be found at:

<http://www.legis.state.tx.us/resources/FollowABill.aspx>

3.10 Where You Can Find Information About Previous Versions of Statutes. I frequently see requests on Glenn Karisch's [Texas Probate E-Mail List](#) for older versions of statutes, such as the intestacy laws applicable to a decedent dying many years ago. You can find old law on your own (for free) rather than asking the list, and I'll use our intestacy statutes as an example.

- Former Texas Probate Code Sec. 38 had the rules for non-community property. If you've got a copy of it with the enactment information,⁵ you'll see

⁵ If you don't have a copy of the Probate Code with enactment information, you can get one! Prof. Gerry Beyer's website (<http://professorbeyer.com/>) contains a copy of the Probate Code as it existed immediately prior to its repeal effective December 31, 2013, with post-1955 amendment information following each section. Click on Legal Updates | Texas Estates Code, and you'll find the link to the final Probate Code at the upper left.

that it came from "Acts 1955, 54th Leg., p. 88, ch. 55, eff. Jan. 1, 1956." That means it was part of the original Probate Code, and was never amended. The key information you'll need is that it was from the **54th Legislature**, and it's found in **chapter 55**.

- Next, go to the search page of the Legislative Reference Library:
<http://www.lrl.state.tx.us/legis/billsearch/lrlhome.cfm>
- Since you've got the session and chapter number, use the option to "Search by session law chapter." Click the down arrow and scroll down to "54th R.S. (1955)." Then type "55" as the Chapter number. Click "Search by chapter."
- You'll arrive at a page that has a hyperlink to chapter 55. Click on that and Voilà – you've got a PDF of the entire original Probate Code! Since Sec. 38 was never amended prior to its repeal on December 31, 2013 (and replacement by Estates Code Secs. 201.001 and 201.002), you've got the language of that section as it existed before 1993.
- Former Texas Probate Code Sec. 45 had the rules for community property. The PDF you just downloaded had the version in effect when the Probate Code went into effect in 1956. But if you've got the enactment information, you'll see that it was amended by Acts 1991, 72nd Leg., ch. 895, § 4, eff. Sept. 1, 1991, and by Acts 1993, 73rd Leg., ch. 846, § 33, eff. Sept. 1, 1993.
- If you're researching the law applicable to someone who died before September 1, 1991, look no further – the original version was still the law. But if your decedent happened to die on or after September 1, 1991, but before September 1, 1993, you need to see what the 1991 amendment did. So back to the search page mentioned above. Scroll to 72nd R.S. (1991) (you don't want either of the "called sessions"), type in 895 for the chapter number, and click on the search button. Again, click on the hyperlink to chapter 895, and you'll download all of that chapter. You need to scroll down to Section 4 of the act to find the 1991 amendment to Texas Probate Code Sec. 45.
- The same procedure should work for any bill or amendment.

3.11 Summary of the Legislative Process.

Watching the process is like being on a roller coaster; one minute a bill is sailing along, and the next it is in dire trouble. And even when a bill has "died," its substance may be resurrected in another bill. The real work is done in committees, and the same legislation must ultimately pass both houses. Thus, even if an identical bill is passed by the Senate as a Senate bill and by the House as a House bill, it cannot be sent to the Governor until either the House has passed the

Senate bill or vice-versa. At any point in the process, members can and often do put on amendments which require additional steps and additional shuttling. It is always a race against time, and it is much easier to kill legislation than to pass it. You can find an “official” description of how a bill becomes a law prepared by the Texas Legislative Council at:

<http://www.tlc.state.tx.us/pubslegref/gtli.pdf#page=7>

3.12 The Legislative Council Code Update

Bill. As statutes are moved around pursuant to the legislature’s continuing statutory revision program, Legislative Council prepares general code update bills for the purposes of (and I quote):

- (1) codifying without substantive change or providing for other appropriate disposition of various statutes that were omitted from enacted codes;
- (2) conforming codifications enacted by the 83rd Legislature to other Acts of that legislature that amended the laws codified or added new law to subject matter codified;
- (3) making necessary corrections to enacted codifications; and
- (4) renumbering or otherwise redesignating titles, chapters, and sections of codes that duplicate title, chapter, or section designations.

As an aside, if you’re interested in learning more about the creation of the Estates Code as part of this statutory revision, you can download this author’s paper, *The Story of the Estates Code*, at:

www.snpalaw.com/resources/EstatesCodeStory

After the 2015 legislative session, this author discovered numerous references to Probate Code provisions that still remained in other codes and forwarded those references to Leg. Council (they are too numerous to list in this paper). The 2017 Leg. Council code update bill, **SB 1488** (West | Landgraf), updated most of those references.⁶ The changes in that bill relating to the codification of the Probate Code are found in Art. 22 of the bill, amending obsolete references in the following codes: Business Organizations, Civil Practice and Remedies, Election, Family, Government, Health and Safety, Insurance, Local Government, Occupations, Penal, and Property, and Articles 6243h and 6243o, Vernon’s Texas Civil Statutes.

3.13 The REPTL Substantive Code Update

Bill. But Leg. Council couldn’t update all references to the Probate Code. Its mandate under Chapter 323, Government Code, only allows it to make

nonsubstantive changes, and updating certain provisions in an appropriate manner could potentially result in making **substantive** changes. These provisions were identified and forwarded to REPTL for potential inclusion in a **substantive** code update bill.

(a) **Example of a “Substantive Change.”** An example provided by Leg. Council is a reference to Texas Probate Code Sec. 95 contained in Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Sec. 71.012:

Sec. 71.012. QUALIFICATION OF FOREIGN PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE. If the executor or administrator of the estate of a nonresident individual is the plaintiff in an action under this subchapter, the foreign personal representative of the estate who has complied with the requirements of *Section 95, Texas Probate Code*, for the probate of a foreign will is not required to apply for ancillary letters testamentary under *Section 105, Texas Probate Code*, to bring and prosecute the action.

The provisions of Probate Code Sec. 95 found their way into Estates Code Sections 501.001, 501.002, 501.003, 501.004, 501.005, 501.007, 501.008, 503.002, 504.003, and 505.052. Changing that reference to Chapter 501 alone would ignore portions of Sec. 95 that were ultimately incorporated into Chapter 503, and would also include reference to a provision (Sec. 501.006) that was not originally derived from Sec. 95. Therefore, in order to update the reference to Sec. 95 in a manner that would not lead to confusion, a substantive, albeit minor, change was necessary. But Leg. Council isn’t allowed to make substantive changes, even if they’re teensy weensy.

(b) **REPTL to the Rescue.** That’s where REPTL has come in. Its 2019 Substantive Code Update bill clarifies these references by substituting Chapter 503 of the Estates Code for the reference to Section 95 of the Probate Code, and Section 501.006 of the Estates Code for the reference to Section 105 of the Probate Code. The codes amended by this bill include the Civil Practice and Remedies Code, the Education Code, the Estates Code, the Government Code, the Health and Safety Code, the Occupations Code, and the Property Code.

4. Key Dates.

Key dates for the enactment of bills in the 2019 legislative session include:

- **Tuesday, November 6, 2018** – General election for federal, state, and county offices.
- **Monday, November 12, 2018** – Prefiling of legislation for the 86th Legislature begins.

⁶ Earlier code update bills relating to the Estates Code were **S.B. 1303** (2011), **S.B. 1093** (2013), and **S.B. 1296** (2015).

- **Tuesday, January 8, 2019** (1st day) – 86th Legislature convenes at noon. [*Government Code, Sec. 301.001*]
- **Friday, March 8, 2019** (60th day) – Deadline for filing most bills and joint resolutions. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 8; Senate Rule 7.07(b); Senate Rule 10.01 subjects joint resolutions to the rules governing proceedings on bills*]
- **Monday, May 6, 2019** (119th day) – Last day for House committees to report House bills and joint resolutions. [*a “soft” deadline that relates to House Rule 6, Sec. 16(a), requiring 36-hour layout of daily calendars prior to consideration, and House Rule 8, Sec. 13(b), the deadline for consideration*]
- **Thursday, May 9, 2019** (122nd day) – Last day for House to consider nonlocal House bills and joint resolutions on **second** reading. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 13(b)*]
- **Friday, May 10, 2019** (123rd day) – Last day for House to consider nonlocal House bills and joint resolutions on **third** reading. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 13(b)*]
- **Saturday, May 18, 2019** (131st day) – Last day for House committees to report Senate bills and joint resolutions. [*relates to House Rule 6, Sec. 16(a), requiring 36-hour layout of daily calendars prior to consideration, and House Rule 8, Sec. 13(c), the deadline for consideration*]
- **Tuesday, May 21, 2019** (134th day) – Last day for House to consider most Senate bills and joint resolutions on **second** reading. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 13(c)*]
- **Wednesday, May 22, 2019** (135th day) – Last day for House to consider most Senate bills or joint resolutions on **third** reading. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 13(c)*]
Last day for Senate to consider any bills or joint resolutions on third reading. [*Senate Rule 7.25; Senate Rule 10.01 subjects joint resolutions to the rules governing proceedings on bills*]
- **Friday, May 24, 2019** (137th day) – Last day for House to consider Senate amendments. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 13(d)*]
Last day for Senate committees to report all bills. [*relates to Senate Rule 7.24(b), but note that the 135th day (two days earlier) is the last day for third reading in the senate; practical deadline for senate committees is before the 135th day; Senate Rule 10.01 subjects joint resolutions to the rules governing proceedings on bills*]
- **Sunday, May 26, 2019** (139th day) – Last day for House to adopt conference committee reports. [*House Rule 8, Sec. 13(e)*]

Last day for Senate to concur in House amendments or adopt conference committee reports. [*relates to Senate Rule 7.25, limiting a vote on the passage of any bill during the last 24 hours of the session to correct an error in the bill*]

- **Monday, May 27, 2019** (140th day) – Last day of 86th Regular Session; corrections only in House and Senate. [*Sec. 24(b), Art. III, Texas Constitution; House Rule 8, Sec. 13(f); Senate Rule 7.25*]
- **Sunday, June 16, 2019** (20th day following final adjournment) – Last day Governor can sign or veto bills passed during the previous legislative session. [*Section 14, Art. IV, Texas Constitution*]⁷
- **Monday, August 26, 2019** (91st day following final adjournment) – Date that bills without specific effective dates (that could not be effective immediately) become law. [*Sec. 39, Art. III, Texas Constitution*] (Note that most bills in recent years include a standard specific effective date of September 1st of the year of enactment.)

5. If You Have Suggestions ...

If you have comments or suggestions, you should feel free to contact the chairs of the relevant REPTL committee[s] identified in Section 1.4 on page 1. Their contact information can be found on their respective committee pages at www.reptl.org.

6. The REPTL Bills.

6.1 The Original REPTL Legislative Package.

In addition to REPTL’s Substantive Code Update bill (see Sec. 3.13 on page 5), REPTL’s 2019 legislative package consisted of a number of bills covering four general areas: (i) decedents’ estates; (ii) guardianships; (iii) trusts; and (iv) powers of attorney and advance directives. In addition, REPTL’s legislative package includes a Texas version of the revised Uniform Fiduciary Access to Digital Assets Act. However, Sec. 35(a), Article III, of the Texas Constitution contains the “one-subject” rule:

⁷ A few words of further explanation about this deadline. This provision states the general rule that if the Governor doesn’t return a vetoed bill to the Legislature within 10 days (*excluding Sundays*) after it’s presented to him (*gender specific pronoun in original*), it becomes law as if [s]he’d signed it. Regular sessions of the Legislature always end on a Monday, which means that there are two Sundays included in the 10 calendar days preceding adjournment. Since we don’t count those Sundays, this means that for regular sessions, the 10-day period is really a 12-day period. **However**, if the Governor can’t return it because the Legislature has adjourned by the end of this 12-day period, the Governor has until 20 days (*no Sunday exclusion*) after adjournment to veto it. Therefore, bills passed in the 2017 regular session must be sent to the Governor by May 17th in order to avoid the 20-day post adjournment deadline.

No bill, (except general appropriation bills, which may embrace the various subjects and accounts, for and on account of which moneys are appropriated) shall contain more than one subject.

Because of this rule, we (or sometimes Leg. Council) strip out provisions from one or more of the “general” bills that may violate the one-subject rule and place them in separate, smaller bills. In each of the substantive sections of this paper, we will identify any REPTL bills and begin with descriptions of them.

6.2 Consolidation Into REPTL Bills. As hearings begin, legislators often ask interested parties to try to consolidate as many of the various bills on similar subjects as possible, in order to reduce the number of bills that would need to move through the legislature. Pursuant to this request, REPTL representatives and the statutory probate judges usually agree to consolidate all or a portion of a number of other bills into one or more of REPTL’s bills. Therefore, keep in mind that not everything that ends up in a REPTL bill by the time it passes was originally a REPTL proposal. Where non-REPTL provisions have been added to REPTL bills, we’ve attempted to identify the original bill[s] that served as the source of the amendments.

7. Decedents’ Estates.⁸

7.1 REPTL Decedents’ Estates Bill. REPTL’s Decedents’ Estates bill is **HB _____** (_____|____).

(a) Representative’s Access to Nonprobate Asset Information (Secs. 111.051 and 111.055). This change requires a third party who held nonprobate property to provide the personal representative information about the decedent’s interest prior to death, even if the estate has no interest in the asset. This assists the representative in preparing an estate tax return, or in determining whether nonprobate assets should be pursued to pay debts and expenses.

(b) Liability of Nonprobate Assets (Sec. 113.252). This change corrects a previous amendment to make clear that a personal representative has no duty to pursue nonprobate assets to pay claims, expenses, and taxes unless a written demand is made by a surviving spouse, a creditor, or someone acting on behalf of a minor child of the decedent.

(c) Memorandum of Conveyance Voids TODD (Sec. 114.102). This change clarifies that a memorandum of conveyance recorded before the transferor’s death voids a prior TODD covering the

property (as an alternative to recording the conveyance itself).

(d) Repeal of Statutory TODD Forms (Secs. 114.151-114.152). The optional statutory forms for a TODD and a revocation of a TODD found in Subchapter D have been criticized as confusing. Rather than trying to fix them, they’re repealed, since alternative forms (that can be modified as needed without legislative action) satisfying the statute are readily available.

Drafting Tip

TexasLawHelp.org has a handy, dandy toolkit for TODDs, currently available to download at:

texaslawhelp.org/resources/transfer-death-deed-forms

(e) Community Property Intestacy Clarification (Sec. 201.003). Sec. 45 of the Probate Code originally provided that when a person died without a will, survived by a spouse and descendants, the survivor is entitled to retain half of the community estate, and the other half passes to the decedent’s descendants. There’s no confusion because the section is dealing with the passage of the entire community estate. In 1993, Sec. 45(a) was added to provide that all of the community estate passed to the survivor if all of the decedent’s descendants were also descendants of the survivor. If not, the old rule now contained in Sec. 45(b), continued to apply. Again, the section was still dealing with the entire community estate.

However, when Sec. 45 was moved to Estates Code Sec. 201.003, Leg. Council drafted three subsections. Subsection (a) stated that the section governed the disposition of the community estate *of a deceased spouse* who dies intestate. This doesn’t seem to deal with the community estate of the surviving spouse. Subsection (b) contained the 1993 amendment that the community estate *of a deceased spouse* passes to the surviving spouse if all of the decedent’s descendants are also descendants of the surviving spouse. Ditto as to the community estate of the surviving spouse. But now subsection (c) provided that if the deceased spouse had a descendant who was not a descendant of the surviving spouse, “one-half of the community estate is retained by the surviving spouse and the other one-half passes to the deceased spouse’s children or descendants.” Even though subsection (a) said the section was only dealing with the decedent’s community estate, this subsection is dealing with the entire community estate, just like former Sec. 45. Unfortunately, REPTL has received anecdotal evidence that some lawyers (and even judges) are interpreting subsection (c) to apply to just the deceased spouse’s

⁸ Section references are to the Texas Estates Code unless otherwise noted.

half of the community estate, so that the surviving spouse keeps his or her half, “retains” half of the deceased spouse’s half, and the other half of the deceased spouse’s half, or one-fourth of the entire community estate, passes to the descendants. *This interpretation is wrong*, and REPTL’s solution is to change subsection (c) so that it only discusses the passage of the deceased spouse’s half of the community estate to the descendants, and makes no mention of the surviving spouse’s interest.

(f) Number of Disinterested Witnesses in an Heirship (Sec. 202.151). This change requires two disinterested witnesses in an heirship proceeding unless the court is satisfied that only one can be found. Keep in mind that this section does not require that any of the witnesses personally knew the decedent. A genealogist who never met the decedent could be a disinterested witness who proves up the heirship solely by documentation found by the witness.

(g) Ability to Delegate Appointment of Administrator (Secs. 254.006, 256.051, 301.052, and 304.001). Every wish you could give someone the ability to name successor executors the same way you can give someone the ability to name successor trustees? Then this change is for you. New Sec. 254.006 allows a will to grant to a named executor or other person designated by name, office, or function the authority to name one or more persons to serve as administrator.⁹ By default, the designee(s) would act only if all named successors were unable or unwilling to act, but the will could provide otherwise (*i.e.*, the person with the designation power could be given the ability to override the default order of succession). Unless the will or designation provides otherwise, the designee would have the same rights, powers, and duties of any named executor, including the rights to serve as independent administrator and exercise any power of sale granted in the will without the need for consent of the distributees. Of course, the designee would still need to offer the normal proof to the court that the designee is qualified to act, not disqualified, etc.

Drafting Tip

You may be able to adapt language you already have for the selection of trustees if your testator wishes to delegate this authority in the will.

(h) Removal of Will Reformation from Constitutional County Court (Sec. 255.456). This change allows removal of a will reformation action in a constitutional county court without a statutory probate

court to a county court-at-law exercising original probate jurisdiction, or to a district court if there is no statutory county court exercising original probate jurisdiction.

(i) Elimination of Reference to Unwritten Will (Sec. 256.051). An unnecessary reference to unwritten wills is deleted since we don’t have unwritten wills anymore (and haven’t since 2007).

(j) Conversion of Muniment to Administration (Sec. 257.151). Ever find a need for appointment of an executor after the will has already been admitted as a muniment of title? This new section clarifies that admission of a will as a muniment does not preclude the subsequent appointment of an executor or administrator, so long as the application is filed within the original time frame for opening administrations.

(k) Clarification of Proof Required for Letters (Sec. 301.151). Two different 2015 bills amended Sec. 301.151(2). This change repeals the less desirable of the two of them.

(l) Executor’s Access to Digital Assets (Secs. 351.106 & 402.003). This change clarifies the ability of an executor or administrator (including an independent one) to obtain a court order to access digital assets of a decedent.

(m) Court Approval of Contingent Fee Agreements (Sec. 351.152). This change clarifies that court approval of a contingent fee agreement in a dependent administration is required only if the agreement calls for a fee in excess of 1/3rd of the property sought to be recovered.

(n) Fees Awarded to Successful Contestant (Sec. 352.052). This change allows (but does not require) a successful will contestant who does not offer an alternative will for probate to be awarded costs, including attorney’s fees.

(o) Separate \$15,000 Class 1 Claim Limits (Secs. 355.102 & 355.103). This change creates separate \$15,000 limits for Class 1 funeral expenses and expenses of last illness, rather than a single combined \$15,000 limit for both types of expenses.

(p) Claim Holder’s “Reasonable Time” Duty (Sec. 355.1551). Sec. 355.1551, added in 2015 (but not by REPTL!), attempted to require a secured creditor electing preferred debt and lien status to take possession of or sell the security within a reasonable time. This change clarifies the procedures to be followed in that situation.

(q) Procedures to Sell Real Estate. These changes clarify the procedures to be followed in

⁹ The designee wouldn’t be an executor since the designee wasn’t directly named in the will.

dependent administrations where there is no will granting a power of sale.

(i) Auctions (Secs. 356.401-356.405).

References to public “sales” are changed to public “auctions.” An auction is completed upon the bid of the highest bidder. Instead of the auction taking place in the county where the probate proceeding is pending, it will take place in any county where the real estate is located, unless the court supervising the probate orders the auction to be held in its county (this flips the existing priority). The auction must take place either at the courthouse or another place designated by the commissioners court. If the first Tuesday of the month is either January 1st or July 4th, then the auction will take place on the first Wednesday of the month.

(ii) Private Sales (Secs. 356.501-356.502).

For private sales, “sales” terminology is revised to refer to the contract entered into by the representative.

(iii) Report and Approval (Secs. 356.551-356.558). Rather than a “sale” “being reported to the court, a “successful bid or private contract” is reported regarding the “proposed disposition” of the property, rather than referring to the “sale” as if it had already occurred. If the court is satisfied with the terms of the proposed disposition, it “approves,” rather than “confirms,” the sale.

(r) Waiver of Bond Where Will Doesn't Waive Bond (Sec. 401.005). This change clarifies that the distributees may agree to waive bond for an independent executor or administrator where the will doesn't waive it.

(s) Claims Procedures for Medicaid Recovery in Independent Administrations (Sec. 403.058). Sec. 403.058 states that most of the claims procedures in dependent administrations don't apply to independent administrations. However, this change makes the dependent administration claims procedures apply to Medicaid Estate Recovery (MERP) claims in an independent administration.

(t) Public Probate Administrators (Ch. 455). Ch. 455, dealing with “public probate administrators,” was added in 2013. This change relates to the authority of and procedures for a PPA.

(u) Recusal of Presiding Statutory Probate Judge (Gov't Code Secs. 25.002201 and 25.00255). This change clarifies procedures related to a motion to recuse a judge who is the presiding judge of the statutory probate courts.

8. Guardianships and Persons With Disabilities.¹⁰

8.1 The REPTL Guardianship Bill. REPTL's Guardianship bill is **HB** _____ (____|____).

(a) Matters Related to Guardianship Proceeding (Sec. 1021.001). This section has contained two definitions of a matter related to a guardianship proceeding: subsection (a) for counties without a statutory probate court, and subsection (b) for all other counties. This change leaves subsection (a) to define those matters in counties without **either** a statutory probate court or a county court at law, inserts a new subsection (b) applicable to counties without a statutory probate court but with a county court at law (adding the interpretation and administration of a trust in which a ward is a beneficiary), and reletters former subsection (b), applicable to counties with a statutory probate court, as new subsection (c).

(b) Required Findings for Appointment of Guardian (Sec. 1101.102). Additional required findings are added relating to supports and services and alternatives to guardianship before a court may appoint a guardian.

- The court must find by clear and convincing evidence that the alternatives to guardianship listed in Sec. 1002.0015(1)-(5) and (8)-(9) (medical and financial powers of attorney, declaration of mental health treatment, representative payee, joint bank accounts, execution of declaration for mental health treatment, designation of guardian, person-centered planning) are not feasible under certain common circumstances.
- The court must find by clear and convincing evidence that supports and services are not feasible under certain common circumstances.
- The lists set for in the amendment of common circumstances that would prevent supports and services and alternatives to guardianship from being feasible are not exhaustive.

(c) Wards' Bill of Rights (Sec. 1151.351). This change amends the right set forth in subsection (b)(12) to clarify that only a court investigator or guardian ad litem (and not an attorney ad litem) may be appointed to investigate a complaint relating to modification or termination of a guardianship, which is consistent with the procedure set forth in Sec. 1202.054.

(d) Notice to Creditors (Sec. 1153.001). This change requires that the general notice to creditors be

¹⁰ Again, section references are to the Texas Estates Code unless otherwise noted.

published in a newspaper of general circulation in the county, rather than one printed in the county. The notice must be posted only if there's no newspaper of general circulation. (This is similar to the 2015 change relating to publication of the notice to creditors in decedents' estates.)

(e) Attorney's Fees (Sec. 1155.054). This is a terminology change. Instead of **requiring** a party to reimburse certain attorney's fees, a court may **order** the party to reimburse those fees.

(f) Costs (Sec. 1155.151). This change subjects the payment of costs out of a guardianship estate under subsection (a)(1) to a "best interests" standard, similar to the existing standard with respect to payment out of a management trust found in subsection (a)(2).

(g) Ch. 1301 Management Trusts. Several changes are made relating to management trusts under Ch. 1301.

(i) Notice (Sec. 1301.051A). The notice provisions when an application for creation of a management trust are made identical to the provisions applicable to the creation of a guardianship. Plus any currently serving guardian must also be served.

(ii) Termination Provisions (Secs. 1301.101 & 1301.203). The terms of a management trust must provide for its termination upon a minor beneficiary's death or 18th birthday (unless the court provides for a later date no later than the beneficiary's 25th birthday), whichever occurs first, or upon an adult incapacitated beneficiary's death, a finding by the court that continuation of the trust is no longer in the beneficiary's best interests, or when the adult beneficiary regains of capacity.

(iii) Accounting (Sec. 1301.154). Both the guardian of the estate and the guardian of the person must receive a copy of the annual account (not either).

(h) Sale of Property by Nonresident Guardian (Secs. 1355.002 & 1355.105). These changes clarify that money held in the clerk's registry is to be paid to the nonresident guardian, not the nonresident minor or incapacitated ward.

9. Trusts.¹¹

9.1 The REPTL Trusts Bill. REPTL's Trust bill is **HB _____** (____|____).

(a) Mandatory Rules – Trustee's and Attorney's Fees (Sec. 111.0035). Added to the list of mandatory trust terms that may not be altered by the

¹¹ Section references are to the Texas Property Code unless otherwise noted.

settlor are the court's ability to deny or order the return of trustee's fees and to make an "equitable and just" award of costs and attorney's fees under Sec. 114.064.

(b) Incorporation of Will Construction Concepts Into Revocable Trusts (Sec. 112.0335).

The provisions of Estates Code Ch. 255, relating to the construction and interpretation of wills (*e.g.*, pretermitted children, advancements, lapsed gifts, class closing, and more) are made applicable to trusts revocable by the settlor, or the settlor and the settlor's spouse. In addition, the abatement provisions of Estates Code Sec. 355.109 are made applicable to those trusts.

(c) Effective Date of Reforms (Sec. 112.054). This change clarifies that a judicial reformation of a trust (as opposed to a modification), because of the very nature of reformations, is effective as of the creation of the trust.

(d) Effect of Divorce on Certain Transfers in Trust (Secs. 112.061-112.066). The provisions currently found in Estates Code Secs. 123.051-123.056, relating to the effect of divorce on revocable dispositions in trust in favor of a former spouse and the former spouse's family are copied to the Trust Code (where they really belong). At some point, they may be repealed from the Estates Code.¹²

(e) Decanting Into the Same Trust? (Sec. 112.071) This change "clarifies" that the second trust to which trust assets are decanted may be created under the same trust instrument as the first trust, in which case the property need not be retitled, or under a different instrument. The language specifically states that it's intended to be a clarification of the common law. What's the point? Well, it's hoped that this will allow a trustee to decant into a new trust with the same name and TIN as the original trust, reducing the transaction costs of changing title to the assets. We'll see.

(f) Termination of Ch. 142 Trusts (Sec. 142.005). The required termination provisions of a court-created trust governed by Property Code Ch. 142 are revised in a manner similar to the revisions found in REPTL's Decedent's Estates bill to court-created trusts governed by Estates Code Ch. 1301 (*see* Sec. 8.1(g)(ii) on page 10).

(g) Pooled Trust Subaccounts (Sec. 142.010 and Ch. 143). New Ch. 143 provides for pooled trust subaccounts, and the transfer of assets from a Ch. 142

¹² These provisions are inserted as new Subchapter D of Chapter 112. Current Subchapter D, which contains the decanting statutes, is relettered as Subchapter E. Don't worry though – none of the section numbers have changed.

management trust to a pooled subaccount, for example, if the initial trustee can no longer serve and no suitable replacement for the unpooled trust can be found.

9.2 The REPTL Directed Trusts Bill

(Sec. 114.0031). REPTL's Directed Trust bill is **HB _____** (____|____). It amends our directed trust provision to clarify that the person with the authority to direct, consent to, or disapprove the trustee's decisions is an "advisor," and is a fiduciary. An exception to the fiduciary characterization is the power solely to remove and appoint trustees, advisors, trust committee members, or other protectors, and the advisor does not exercise the power to appoint himself or herself. Also, the fiduciary requirement does not prohibit the exercise of a power that must be exercised in a nonfiduciary capacity for someone to be treated as the owner of a trust for federal income tax purposes.

10. Disability Documents.

10.1 The REPTL Financial Power of

Attorney Bill. REPTL's Financial Power of Attorney bill is ... Just kidding. After the significant overhaul of the financial power of attorney statutes in 2017, REPTL decided to give them a rest this session.

10.2 The REPTL Medical Power of Attorney Bill (Health & Saf. Code Secs. 166.160 & 166.164).

REPTL's Medical Power of Attorney bill is **HB _____** (____|____). It makes the statutory form of medical power of attorney optional, so people who want to use other forms, such as the **Five Wishes** document, the **ABA's simple form**, or some other form as a standalone document. To be valid, a medical power must:

- be in writing;
- be signed by the principal (or another person at the principal's express direction) before two witnesses or a notary; and
- contain the principal's name, date of execution, and designation of an agent.

In order to make this more palatable to the Texas Medical Association and the Texas Hospital Association, both of which opposed this change in 2017, an attending physician, health or residential care provider, or agent of either will not be considered to have engaged in unprofessional conduct for assuming that a medical power was valid when made so long as they have no knowledge to the contrary. The Health Law Section of the State Bar has indicated its opposition to this change. In REPTL's response to that section's objections, we have noted that the ABA has indicated in their survey of state laws, Texas is one of only five states to have such restrictive requirements that the ABA is unusable. Texas is referred to as one of

the "forbidding five" states for this reason. The Health Law Section also proposes an express prohibition of co-agents (neither current nor proposed law addresses this issue), even though in the absence of a medical power, the list of persons who may consent to medical treatment includes "(3) a majority of the patient's reasonably available adult children; [and] (4) the patient's parents." See Health & Saf. Code Sec. 313.004.

10.3 The REPTL Anatomical Gift Bill

(Health & Saf. Code Ch. 692 & Secs. 692A.005-007). REPTL's Anatomical Gift bill is **HB _____** (____|____). It allows a statement of anatomical gift, a revocation of same, or a refusal to make an anatomical gift to be acknowledged in the presence of a notary instead of two witnesses.¹³

Drafting Tip

If you prepare these for clients and have already switched from two witnesses to one notary for the rest of your advance directives, you may do so now for this anatomical gift document.

However, when my clients bring this up, I usually encourage them to register at the Glenda Dawson Donate Life Texas Registry, since the client's wishes will be documented and readily available to health care providers at the time of donation, while access to the anatomical gift form you've prepared may not be. Anyone can register at:

<https://www.donatelifetexas.org/>

The registry also has partnerships with the Texas DPS and DMV that allow individuals to join the donor registry when applying for or renewing their driver's license, ID, or vehicle registration.

10.4 The REPTL Disposition of Remains Bill (Health & Saf. Code Secs. 711.002 & 711.004).

REPTL's Disposition of Remains bill is **HB _____** (____|____). The bill revokes the authority of a spouse if the marriage is dissolved before the decedent's death. It clarifies that a court with jurisdiction over probate proceedings for the decedent (whether or not commenced) has jurisdiction over a dispute regarding disposition of remains. However, a dispute over removal of remains is heard in a county court in the county where the cemetery is located.

¹³ In 2009, when **HB 2027** replaced the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act found in Ch. 692 with the *Revised* Uniform Anatomical Gift Act found in new Ch. 692A, **SB 1803** separately amended Sec. 692.003(d) of the old act. That left subsection (d) in place, but the rest of Sec. 692.003 was repealed, along with the rest of Ch. 692. The REPTL bill repeals the scrap of Ch. 692 that's left.

11. Nontestamentary Transfers.

11.1 **The REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill – Repeal of Statutory TODD Forms** See Sec. 7.1(d) on page 7.

12. Exempt Property.

12.1 **The REPTL Exempt Savings Plan Bill (Prop. Code Secs. 42.0021 & 42.0022).** The provisions of current Prop. Code Sec. 42.0021, previously relating solely to the creditor exemption for retirement plans, are clarified and reorganized to be more readable and incorporate the provisions of Sec. 42.0022, relating to the creditor exemption for college savings plans. (The latter section is repealed.)

13. Jurisdiction and Venue.

13.1 **The REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill – Removal of Will Reformation.** See Sec. 7.1(h) on page 8.

13.2 **The REPTL Guardianship Bill – Matters Related to Guardianship Proceeding.** See Sec. 8.1(a) on page 9.

13.3 **The REPTL Disposition of Remains Bill – Courts With Jurisdiction.** See Sec. 10.4 on page 11.

14. Court Administration.

14.1 **The REPTL Decedents' Estates Bill – Recusal of Presiding Statutory Probate Judge.** See Sec. 7.1(u) on page 9.

17. A Little Lagniappe.

We are [mostly] happy to report the following developments critical to the future of Texas:

17.1 **“Goin’ Up the Country.”** In June, 2018, the Texas Legislative Council issued a report compiling definitions of “rural” found in Texas statutes and state agency rules. They found 46 of ‘em, and included maps for 18 definitions. Notably, there’s no reference to “rural” homesteads. Art. 16, Sec. 51, of the Texas Constitution does not use the term “rural.” It refers to a homestead, “not in a town or city,” of no more than 200 acres, and a homestead “in a city, town or village” of no more than 10 acres. And Prop. Code Sec. 41.002 uses the term “rural homestead” without defining what’s “rural,” although in all fairness, it does provide guidelines for what is considered an urban homestead. One *might* conclude that if it doesn’t fit the definition of an urban homestead, it would be *rural*. (Also, it appears that the report was designed to address what’s “rural” over larger geographic areas, hence the statewide maps.) If you’re interested, you can find the report here:

https://tlc.texas.gov/docs/policy/Def_Rural_Statutes.pdf

• The End.

Except for any attachments I may add following the session’s end.